	Application No. 10/626,186		Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ			ARBEITMAN ET AL.		
	ary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Charles Ehne	2113		
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>					
(1) <u>Charles Ehne</u> .		(3)			
(2) Amy Pattillo.		(4)			
Date of Interview: 19 November 2007		Time: <u>11:30 AM</u>			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed: 13 and 14					
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH Claims 13 and 14 were dependents of a cancelled clair		ERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:		
Part III.					
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 					
				i	
and de					
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	t/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if approp	riate)	